

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/090,327	03/04/2002	Marcus David Shelby	71218	5527
7590 07/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Wendell Ray Guffey Eastman Chemical Company			MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E	
P.O. Box 511		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Kingsport, TN 37662-5075			1732	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/090,327	DAVID SHELBY ET AL.				
	Examiner 5 MaB	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Suzanne E. McDowell	1732 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C				
Period for Reply	adis on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence dudress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	n)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		yaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.3.0. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	ě				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	Δ □					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/17/02 and 12/27/</u> .	6)					

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7, 1-12, 14, 15, 19, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe (JP 01-30729). Watanabe discloses a method for labeling by positioning a barrier film (14) over an extruded parison (12), heating the film to shrink it to the parison, then blow molding to form a container with a film thereon. Regarding claims 4, 6 and 7, the film is formed from polyamide and modified polyethylene, i.e., a functional additive. Regarding claim 12, the film can have a barrier property. Claim 14 and 15, see Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (JP 01-30729) in view of Anderson et al. (US Patent 6,569,276). Watanabe discloses a method for labeling by positioning a barrier film (14) over an extruded parison (12), heating the film to shrink it to the parison, then blow molding to form a container with a film thereon. Watanabe does not teach that the film is distortion printed, that it is held in place, or that it is from oriented film stock and the container is contoured. Anderson et al. teaches a method of in-mold labeling to enhance the surface of a contoured container by holding a label in place and having the label stretch during blow molding (column 2, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/090,327

Art Unit: 1732

28-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method taught by Anderson et al. to modify the method taught by Watanabe to form a contoured container with a label thereon, where the label is not distorted by the blow molding.

Page 3

5. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (JP 01-30729) in view of Slat (US Patent 4,662,528). Watanabe discloses a method for labeling by positioning a barrier film (14) over an extruded parison (12), heating the film to shrink it to the parison, then blow molding to form a container with a film thereon. Watanabe does not teach that the barrier layer is EVA or metazylene diamine, or that the process is a stretch blow molding one. Slat teaches a stretch blow molded plastic container with a label thereon, where the container has at least one barrier layer that may be formed of EVA. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method taught by Slat to modify the method taught by Watanabe form a contoured container with a label thereon, where the label is not distorted by the blow molding.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, Th 6:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEM June 28, 2004 Sugarne E. Mcoull

SUZANNE E. MCDOWELL PRIMARY EXAMINER